

C. Brooks Cutter (SBN 121407)
Jennifer S. Domer (SBN 305822)
Celine Cutter (SBN 312622)
CUTTER LAW P.C.
401 Watt Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95864
Telephone: 916-290-9400
Facsimile: 916-588-9330
Email: bcutter@cutterlaw.com
jdomer@cutterlaw.com
ccutter@cutterlaw.com

Attorneys for ROE CL Plaintiffs

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No.: 3:23-md-03084-CRB

Hon. Charles R. Breyer

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Roe CL 146 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
et al., No. 3:25-cv-03719-CRB*

**ATTORNEY JENNIFER S. DOMER'S
DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF
RESPONSE TO JUDGE'S ORDER
REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS
DUPLICATIVE CASES**

I, Jennifer S. Domer, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at Cutter Law P.C. admitted to practice before the courts of the State of California. I am a Partner at Cutter Law, P.C., and am one of the counsels of record for Jane Roe CL 146. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called to testify, I would testify competently as to the information below.

2. This declaration is made in support of my response to the Judge's Order to Dismiss Duplicative Cases.

3. Counsel was made aware that Jane Roe CL 146 was dually represented and also filed in the MDL by Cohen Hirsch LLP, through an email from Defendants on August 21, 2025

4. Counsel emailed them the same day as the notice but was able to speak to Cohen Hirsch LLP on August 22, 2025. In this call it was decided they would continue with their filed

1 claim, that they would notify Defendants of this intention, and then upon notice to Defendants
2 we would dismiss our case. This discussion was formalized in an email sent by me to Cohen
3 Hirsch LLP immediately after the call.

4 5. On September 23, 2025, Counsel emailed them again following up on whether
5 Defendants had been notified of the decision about their case moving forward. There was no
6 response to the email.

7 6. Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss our duplicative claim on October 15,
8 2025.

9 7. Counsel immediately emailed Cohen Hirsch LLP on October 15, 2025, inquiring
10 again whether Defendants had been notified. They responded the same day that they were not
11 certain and would need to check.

12 8. Counsel then received confirmation that day that they had not, whereas Counsel
13 then emailed Defendants letting them know that Cohen Hirsch LLP's case would continue and
14 asked if they would Stipulate to a Dismissal.

15 9. Defendants replied to my email on October 16, 2025, agreeing to Stipulate to the
16 Dismissal and were sent a draft Stipulation for their review. The Stipulation included language
17 that each side was to bear their own costs and fees pertaining to the case. It was then approved
18 by Defendants and filed by Counsel on the same day.

19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this
20 declaration was executed on December 17, 2025, in Sacramento, California.

21
22 Dated: December 17, 2025

CUTTER LAW P.C.

23
24 By: /s/ Jennifer S. Domer
Jennifer S. Domer

25
26 *Attorney for Roe CL Plaintiffs*